

REMARKS

By this amendment, Applicants have amended claims 10, 17, 21 and 24 and cancelled claims 16, 23 and 29 without prejudice. As a result, claims 10-15, 17-22 and 24-28 are pending in this application. These amendments are being made to facilitate early allowance of the presently claimed subject matter. Applicants do not acquiesce in the correctness of the objections and rejections and reserve the right to pursue the full scope of the subject matter of the original claims, or claims that are potentially broader in scope, in the current and/or a related patent application. Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Initially, the Office objects to claim 21 as containing a typographical error. Claim 21 has been amended to correct the error. As a result, Applicants respectfully request that the objection be withdrawn.

The Office rejects claims 10-29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wang, *et al.* (U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0035579) in view of Blair *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 7,299,411). Applicants submit that the Office fails to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

With respect to amended claim 10, Applicants respectfully submit that the Office fails to show that each and every feature of the claimed invention is taught or suggested by the proposed combination of Wang and Blair. The Office cites Wang for teaching the “forming a Request rule list by identifying the requesting device, aggregating all device and network display possibility information, and suppressing contradictory information.” (Office Action, p. 2). Significantly, however, Wang does not teach or suggest suppressing contradictory information. Rather, Wang provides for “selecting the page to be customized from the site

map . . . Users can select either one page, or a batch of pages to customize.” (Wang [0069]). Wang provides for the selection and identification of pages to be customized, but does not provide for suppressing contradictory information as included in claim 10.

The Office also cites Wang as teaching the “aggregating all CSS presentation definitions of the Web HTML page while suppressing any conflicting CSS presentation definitions in a resulting CSS rule list.” (Office Action, p. 4). Contrary to the Office’s assertion, however, Wang does not each teach or suggest suppressing any conflicting CSS presentation definitions. Rather, as described above, Wang provides for “selecting the page to be customized from the site map . . . Users can select either one page, or a batch of pages to customize.” (Wang [0069]).

Amended claim 10 further provides “suppressing all statements of the Web HTML page that are related to CSS.” (claim 10, line 16; emphasis added to indicate amendment). The Office cites Blair as teaching “identifying and transcoding all presentation codes of a web document, including CSS, by resolving the styles to a subset of style tags, to adapt the layout of a document into compressed presentation related code within a binary file.” (Office Action, p. 8). Blair also teaches where “file and resolved style information are then compressed into a binary data file which is provided to a client presentation engine . . . such that layout and drawing code may render and otherwise present application imagery on a presentation device.” (Blair, col. 4, lines 32-41). Neither Wang nor Blair teach or suggest suppressing all statements of the Web HTML page that are included in the retrieved Web and that are related to CSS. For this reason and the reasons discussed herein, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 10 and the claims that depend therefrom be withdrawn.

With respect to independent claim 17, Applicants note that the Office presents substantially the same rejection for this claim as presented in rejecting claim 10. Further, Applicants have amended this claim to include similar subject matter as that included in claim 10. To this extent, Applicants incorporate the arguments presented above with respect to claim 10 in response to this rejection. As a result, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 17, and the claims that depend therefrom, as allegedly being anticipated by Wang and Blair.

Addressing independent claim 24, Applicants note that the Office presents substantially the same rejection for this claim as presented in rejecting claim 10. Further, Applicants have amended this claim to include similar subject matter as that included in claim 10. To this extent, Applicants incorporate the arguments presented above with respect to claim 10 in response to this rejection. As a result, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 24, and the claims that depend therefrom, as allegedly being anticipated by Wang and Blair.

Applicants submit that each of the pending claims is patentable for one or more additional unique features. To this extent, Applicants do not acquiesce to the Office's interpretation of the claimed subject matter or the references used in rejecting the claimed subject matter. Additionally, Applicants do not acquiesce to the Office's combinations and modifications of the various references or the motives cited for such combinations and modifications. These features and the appropriateness of the Office's combinations and modifications have not been separately addressed herein for brevity. However, Applicants reserve the right to present such arguments in a later response should one be necessary and/or

in a related patent application, either of which may seek to obtain protection for claims of a potentially broader scope.

In light of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that all claims are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner require anything further to place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 4, 2009

/Moshe H. Bondar/

Moshe H. Bondar
Reg. No.: 52,634

Hoffman Warnick LLC
75 State Street, 14th Floor
Albany, New York 12207
(518) 449-0044
(518) 449-0047 (fax)